

Chemical Engineering Journal 108 (2005) 145–153



www.elsevier.com/locate/cej

# Extraction of arsenic-containing anions by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with ion-pairing

Tao Wang ∗, Yanfen Guan

*Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

Received 19 April 2004; received in revised form 12 January 2005; accepted 14 January 2005

## **Abstract**

The extraction of arsenic-containing anions from solid matrices by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (sc-CO<sub>2</sub>) extraction coupled with ion-pairing was investigated. Tetra-butylammonium bromide (Bu<sub>4</sub>NBr), tetra-hexylammonium bromide (Hex<sub>4</sub>NBr), tetra-octylammonium bromide (Oct<sub>4</sub>NBr), dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (Dod(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr), myristyltrimethylammonim bromide (Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr) and hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (Hex(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr) were used as the ion-pairing reagents. A model of the extraction of the arsenic-containing anions by supercritical CO2 extraction with pre-ion-pairing was proposed, and verified with experimental results. The extraction efficiency depends on two parameters, which are the theoretical extraction efficiency (*E*max) and the rate constant (*k*). The effects of the molecular structure and size of the ion-pair reagent on  $E_{\text{max}}$  and *k* were discussed. The process parameter effects on the extraction efficiency, including the temperature, pressure, the mole flow rate of  $CO_2$ , the mole ratio of alcohol to  $CO_2$  and the dosage of the ion-pair reagent were investigated using But<sub>4</sub>NBr and  $Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr$  as the ion-pairing reagents.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Arsenic; Anion; Extraction; Supercritical carbon dioxide; Ion-pairing

# **1. Introduction**

Supercritical carbon dioxide ( $\text{sc-CO}_2$ ) has been receiving increasing attentions for many applications due to its unique properties such as liquid-like density and solvent power, gaslike transport properties, sensitivity of the properties with temperature and pressure, and its benignity to environment. However, the non-polarity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  limits its solvent power for strong polar solutes and then its applications. For ionic solutes without the specific modifications, the supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is a poor solvent even with polar organics as the cosolvents. In recent years, the solubilization of ionic solutes in supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for various applications has become an active topic. For metal cations, chelating using coordinating ligands dissolved in supercritical carbon dioxide has being developed, and applied for the extraction of metal cations by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from various matrixes [\[1–6\]. H](#page-7-0)owever, it is necessary to transform the ionic solute to be the form which

allows it to be coordinated with the ligand for forming the supercritical carbon dioxide soluble chelate [\[7\].](#page-7-0)

Another method for solubilizing ionic solutes in supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is to associate the solute with a counter ion to form an ion-pair, which is hydrophobic enough to dissolve in supercritical CO2. This hydrophobic ion-pairing method has many potential applications. It has been used for analysis of ionic analytes using supercritical fluid chromatography [\[8–11\], a](#page-7-0)nd also used to solubilize hydrophilic chemically sensitive materials in supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for applications to chemical optical sensors [\[12\]. S](#page-8-0)upercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction with ion paring can be applied to preparation of samples for analysis of ionic solutes[\[13–16\]. I](#page-8-0)n a previous work [\[17\], w](#page-8-0)e primarily explored a new process for the removal of arsenic-containing anions such as arsenite and arsenate by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction with ion-pairing, in which the arsenic-containing anions were associated with tetra-butylammonium cation to form the hydrophobic ion-pairs, and effectively extracted into supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . So far, there is no available information about modeling and kinetics of the extraction of ionic solutes by ion-pairing/supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . In this work, a model of the

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 62773017; fax: +86 10 62770304. *E-mail address:* taowang@tsinghua.edu.cn (T. Wang).

<sup>1385-8947/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2005.01.013

# **Nomenclature**



- I ion-pair
- \* in equilibrium

extraction of the arsenic-containing anions by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with ion-pairing was established, and verified by experiments with six tetra-butylammonium bromides as the ionpairing agents. The evaluation of the ion-pairing reagents and process parameter effects on the extraction efficiency were also investigated.

# **2. Experimental**

#### *2.1. Materials*

Tetra-butylammonium bromide (Bu4NBr) (99.5 wt%, Beijing Chemicals Co.), tetra-hexylammonium bromide (Hex4NBr) (99%, ACROS), tetra-octylammonium bromide (Oct<sub>4</sub>NBr) (99%, Aldrich), dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide (Dod(Me)3NBr) (99%, Aldrich), myristyltrimethylammonim bromide (Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr) (99%, ACROS), hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (Hex (Me)3NBr) (99%, ACROS) and ethanol (99.7 wt%, Beijing Chemicals Co.) were used as received. Standard As solution (GSB G 62027–90, 1000  $\mu$ g As/ml, As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dissolved in 5 wt% H2SO4 solution) was obtained from National Steel Material Testing Center of China (Beijing, China).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ (99.9%) was supplied by Beijing Analytical Apparatus Co. (Beijing, China) and purified with an activated carbon column (1 m in length and 2 cm i.d.). The filter paper (quantitative analysis grade with  $0.1 \mu m$  diameter pores) was obtained from Fuyang Special Paper Co. (Hangzhou, China), and used without any further treatment.

# *2.2. Analysis*

The arsenic contents were determined by atomic fluorescence spectrophotometry after the digestion of solid samples before and after the extraction. The analytical method was the same as that described in another paper [\[7\].](#page-7-0)

#### *2.3. Experimental set-up and procedure*

#### *2.3.1. Sample preparation*

The solid sample was prepared by spiking the filter paper with the arsenic solution. A  $100 \mu$ g As/ml aqueous solution was made by diluting 25 ml standard arsenic solution with water to 250 ml, and adjusting its pH to 7 by dropping 2 M NaOH aqueous solution. The filter paper was immersed in the solution for 2 h, and then dried in air at  $40^{\circ}$ C for 4 h.

#### *2.3.2. Ion-pairing*

The desired amount of the ion-pairing reagent was dissolved in 15 ml ethanol to form the reagent solution. The pH of this solution was also adjusted using NaOH aqueous solution. The solid sample was mixed with the reagent solution for 2 h to transform the anions to be ion-pairs, and then dried at  $40^{\circ}$ C in air for 4 h to evaporate ethanol. After that, the samples were extracted by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with ethanol as the modifier.

The dosage of the ion-pairing reagent was expressed as *X* (mol/mol):

$$
X = \frac{M_{A^{+}}}{M_{As}}\tag{1}
$$

where  $M_{A^+}$  is the moles of the ion-paring reagent and  $M_{As}$ is the moles of arsenic atoms in the sample.

## *2.3.3. Supercritical CO2 extraction*

The apparatus for supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction was schematically shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-2-0) A sample of 5 g after ionparing was loaded in a sample holder (20 ml), and placed into the extractor (30 mm i.d.  $\times$  150 mm in length). The liquefied  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was charged and pressurized using a high-pressure metering pump (2J-X 5/45, Zhijiang Instruments, Hangzhou, China). A back pressure regulator (BY50, Yixin Co., Beijing, China) was used to control the outlet pressure of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ pump. The flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was controlled by the metering pump with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  NL/min, and measured by a gas flow meter. High pressure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was heated to the desired temperature. Ethanol as the co-solvent was delivered by a syringe pump (LB-10C, Xingda Company, Beijing, China), and mixed with supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in the mixing column  $(30 \text{ mm } i.d. \times 150 \text{ mm } i$  in length). The extraction pressure

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction system.

was controlled by using another back-pressure regulator (BPR) (BY45, Yixin Co., Beijing, China) with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  MPa. The extraction temperature was measured with thermocouples and controlled with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.2$  °C by flowing the heating water through the jackets. Every experiment was repeated more than three times. The average extraction efficiency was reported with the standard deviation less than 2.5%.

The content of ethanol in  $\mathrm{sc}\text{-}\mathrm{CO}_2$  was denoted by the mole ratio of ethanol to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as following:

$$
Y = \frac{L}{F} \text{(mol/mol)}\tag{2}
$$

where  $L$  is the mole rate of ethanol (mol/min);  $F$  is the mole rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (mol/min).

The extraction efficiency was defined as:

$$
E = \frac{C_0^{\rm s} - C^{\rm s}}{C_0^{\rm s}}\tag{3}
$$

where  $E$  is the extraction efficiency;  $C_0^s$  is the initial content of arsenic in the sample (mol/g); and*C*<sup>s</sup> is the residual content of arsenic in the sample (mol/g).

## **3. Model**

#### *3.1. Ion-pairing equilibrium*

The ion-pairing reagent, tetra-alkylammonium bromide, disassociated to be tetra-alkylammonium cation and bromide anion in the solution according to Scheme 1.

The arsenic-containing anions associate with tetraalkylammonium cations to form ion-pairs in the solid sample according to Scheme 2. Where  $A^+$  is tetra-alkylammonium

$$
ABr \iff A^+ + Br^-
$$
  
Scheme 1.  

$$
(3-x)A^+ + As(H_xO_y)^{x-3} \Leftrightarrow [A^+]_{(3-x)} \bullet [As(H_xO_y)]^{x-2}
$$

Scheme 2.

cation; As( $H_xO_y$ )<sup>*x*−3</sup> is arsenic-containing anion; *y* is 3 and 4, respectively for As(III) and As(V); depending on the pH, *x* might be 0, 1 or 2;  $[A^+]_{(3-x)}[As(H_xO_y)]^{x-3}$  is the ionpair and denoted as I, which is extractable using supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

The total content of arsenic in the sample was:

$$
C^{s} = C^{s}_{As(H_xO_y)^{x-3}} + C^{s}_{I}
$$
 (4)

where  $C_{\text{I}}^{\text{s}}$  is the content of the formed ion-pair; and  $C_{\text{As}(\text{H}_x\text{O}_y)^{x-3}}^s$  is the content of the arsenic-containing anion.

Because the arsenic-containing anions were not extractable by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , so the content of arseniccontaining anions in the sample is constant during the extraction, that is,

$$
C_{\text{As}(H_xO_y)^{x-3}}^s = C_{\text{As}(H_xO_y)^{x-3},0}^s \tag{5}
$$

The total content of arsenic in the sample during the extraction is:

$$
C^s = C^s_{\text{As}(H_xO_y)^{x-3},0} + C^s_1 \tag{6}
$$

With infinite extraction time, the ion-pair should be completely extracted by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and the total content of arsenic in the sample became:

$$
C_{\infty}^{\mathrm{s}} = C_{\mathrm{As}(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}}\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y}})^{\mathrm{x}-3},0}^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{7}
$$

The content of the ion-pair in the sample can be expressed as:

$$
C_{\rm I}^{\rm s} = C^{\rm s} - C_{\infty}^{\rm s} \tag{8}
$$

The ion-pairing reaction in the solid sample could be reasonably considered to be in equilibrium due to the enough long time for ion-paring treatment. The equilibrium constant of the ion-pairing reaction be expressed as:

$$
K = \frac{C_{1,0}^{\rm s}}{(C_{\rm A,0}^{\rm s})^{3-x} C_{\infty}^{\rm s}}
$$
(9)

where  $C_{A,0}^{s}$  is the content of the ion-pairing reagent in the sample before extraction.

XXXXXXX

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Modeling for supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction of the ion-pairs.

The total content of the ion-pairs before extraction was:

$$
C_{1,0}^s = C_0^s - C_\infty^s \tag{10}
$$

And, then:

$$
K = \frac{C_0^s - C_{\infty}^s}{\left(C_{A,0}^s\right)^{3-x} C_{\infty}^s}
$$
(11)

#### *3.2. Supercritical CO2 extraction of the ion-pairs*

Considering a low height of the sample packed in the sample holder, the following assumptions were made for modeling the dynamic extraction of the formed ion-pairs (Fig. 2):

- 1. The arsenic species are uniformly distributed in the solid sample.
- 2. The flow of supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  phase is a well-mixed flow.

The mass balance for the time period  $t \to t + dt$  is:

$$
-d(mC_1^s) = FC^f dt
$$
 (12)

$$
FCfdt = mak_f(C*f - Cf)dt
$$
\n(13)

where *a* is the specific area of the sample  $(m^2/g)$ ;  $k_f$  is the mass transfer coefficient in sc-CO<sub>2</sub> phase (mol/(m<sup>2</sup> min));  $C^f$  is the As content in sc-CO<sub>2</sub> phase (mol/mol CO<sub>2</sub>);  $C^f_*$  is the As content in  $\text{sc-CO}_2$  phase equilibrated with the solid sample (mol/mol  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ).

For this system, the equilibrium relation was considered to be linear, and

$$
C_{\ast}^{\mathrm{f}} = K_{\mathrm{e}} C_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{14}
$$

where  $K_e$  is the equilibrium constant of the ion-pair between the sc-CO<sub>2</sub> phase and the solid sample.

From Eqs. (12)–(14) and  $f = F/m$ , which was defined as the specific flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (mol/(min g)),

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{d}C_1^{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{fak_{\mathrm{f}}}{f + ak_{\mathrm{f}}} K_{\mathrm{e}} C_1^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{15}
$$

Define

$$
\frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{K_{\rm e}} \left( \frac{1}{ak_{\rm f}} + \frac{1}{f} \right) \tag{16}
$$

Eq. (15) became as:

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{d}C_1^{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = kC_1^{\mathrm{s}} \tag{17}
$$

where *k* is the extraction rate constant  $(min^{-1})$ .

With Eq. [\(8\),](#page-2-0)

$$
-\frac{d(C^s - C^s_{\infty})}{dt} = k(C^s - C^s_{\infty})
$$
\n(18)

Then,

$$
C^{s} - C^{s}_{\infty} = (C^{s}_{0} - C^{s}_{\infty})e^{-kt}
$$
 (19)

So, the extraction efficiency defined as Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0) is:

$$
E = \frac{C_0^s - C_{\infty}^s}{C_0^s} (1 - e^{-kt})
$$
\n(20)

Defining  $E_{\text{max}} = C_0^s - C_\infty^s / C_0^s$ , which is the theoretical extraction efficiency, the extraction efficiency can be expressed as:

$$
E = E_{\text{max}}(1 - e^{-kt})
$$
\n<sup>(21)</sup>

From Eq. (11),  $E_{\text{max}}$  could be written as:

$$
\frac{1}{E_{\text{max}}} = 1 + \frac{1}{K(C_{\text{A},0}^s)^{3-x}} \tag{22}
$$

As shown in Eq. (21), the extraction efficiency *E* depends positively on the theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max, the extraction rate constant *k* and the extraction time *t*. The extraction rate constant  $k$  is the function of the equilibrium constant  $K_e$ , the specific flow rate of  $CO_2 f$  and the mass transfer coefficient  $k_f$ . The extraction rate constant  $k$  depends on the extraction temperature and pressure,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flow rate, ethanol concentration in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and the dissolution power of sc- $CO<sub>2</sub>$ for the ion-pair. The theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max is related with the stability constant of the ion-pair, and depends on the chemical structures of the anion and the ion-pairing conditions.

#### **4. Results and discussion**

# *4.1. Model validation and ion-pairing reagents evaluation*

Eq. (21) was well validated by the experimental data of the extraction efficiency at different extraction time for the six tetra-alkylammonium bromides as the ion-pairing agents. The theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max and the extraction rate constant *k* were found by fitting experimental data with Eq. (21). In the experiment, 0.5 g of sample, which was treated using the ion-paring reagent solution (pH 7) with the dosage (*X*) of 140 mol/mol for ion-paring and had the As content before extraction ( $C_0^s$ ) 8.8 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mol/g, was extracted using ethanol modified sc-CO<sub>2</sub> ( $Y = 0.04$ ) at 20 MPa

Table 1 The parameters found by fitting experimental extraction efficiency with Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-0)

Ion-pairing reagent	$E_{\rm max}$	$k \text{ (min}^{-1})$		AARD%	MARD%
$Dod(Me)$ <sub>3</sub> NBr	$0.4460 + 0.0120$	$0.0162 + 0.0013$	0.998	.26	2.22
$Myr(Me)$ <sub>3</sub> NBr	$0.4448 + 0.0129$	$0.0167 + 0.0099$	0.998	1.27	2.82
$Hex(Me)_{3}NBr$	$0.4327 + 0.0229$	$0.0169 + 0.0027$	0.995	2.59	4.89
$Bu_4$ N $Br$	$0.3627 + 0.0037$	$0.0102 + 0.0003$	0.999	0.38	0.69
$Hex_4$ NBr	$0.3169 + 0.0121$	$0.0139 + 0.0014$	0.998	. . 58	2.69
Oct <sub>4</sub> NBr	$0.2605 \pm 0.0099$	$0.0145 + 0.0015$	0.998	1.63	2.80

Here, *r* is the correlation coefficient of the regression; AARD is the average absolute relative deviation in predicting the reported values of the extraction efficiency; MARD is the maximal absolute relative deviation in predicting the reported values of the extraction efficiency. *X*: 140 mol/mol; pH of ion-paring reagent solution: 7;  $C_0^s$ : 8.8 × 10<sup>−6</sup> mol/g; *T*: 40 °C; *P*: 20 MPa; *f*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *Y*: 0.04.

and 40  $\degree$ C with 0.332 mol/(min g) of CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate. The tetraalkylammoniums containing three methyl groups and a long *n*-alkyl (type I), and the tetra-alkylammonium with four short *n*-alkyl groups (type II) were used as the ion-pairing reagents.

As listed in Table 1, the correlation coefficient *r* was greater than 0.995. The average absolute relative deviation (AARD) of the extraction efficiency was less than 2.59% with the maximal absolute relative deviation (MARD) less than 4.89%. The results indicated that the model proposed could describe the sc- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction of arsenic-containing anions with ion-pairing with the satisfactory accuracy.

With same dosage of the ion-pairing reagent and pH of the reagent solution, *E*max was only dependent on the stability constant of the ion-pairing reaction *K*, and increased as *K* increasing. The *E*max could characterize the ability of the ionpairing reagent to form the ion-pair with the As containing anion. With the certain extraction condition, the extraction rate constant *k* positively depends only on the dissolution power of sc-CO2 for the ion-pair. As shown in Table 1, *E*max and *k* were significantly affected by the chemical structure and molecular size of the ion-pairing reagent. Using type I tetra-alkylammoniums, *E*max and *k* are larger than ones in the case of using type II tetra-alkylammoniums. *E*max was decreased as increasing the length of the *n*-alkyl contained in the tetra-alkylammonium. These results disclosed that the ability of the tetra-alkylammonium to associate with arsenic anions to form ion-pairs was negatively dependent on its molecular size. For both two kinds of tetra-alkylammonium, *k* increased as the length of the *n*-alkyl. In order to obtain high extraction efficiency, it is necessary to maximize *E*max and *k* by selecting the ion-pairing reagent with suitable molecular structure and size, and optimizing the conditions of the ion-paring reaction and sc-CO<sub>2</sub> extraction. As the  $E_{\text{max}}$  and *k* values with 95% confidence intervals shown in Table 1, the tetra-alkylammoniums containing three methyl groups and a long *n*-alkyl are obviously more effective than the tetraalkylammonium containing four short *n*-alkyl groups.

# *4.2. Process parameter effects on the extraction efficiency*

The extraction of the arsenic-containing anion was strongly affected by the conditions of ion-paring reaction

and sc- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction. The effects of the process parameters, including the dosage and pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution, extraction time, pressure, temperature, specific  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ mole flow rate and ethanol content in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , on the extraction efficiency were investigated using  $Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr$  and Bu4NBr as the ion-pairing reagents.

#### *4.2.1. Extraction time*

The dependence of the extraction efficiency on the extraction time is governed by Eq. [\(21\),](#page-3-0) which was validated with the experiments using the six ion-pairing reagents. As Eq. [\(21\)](#page-3-0) disclosed, the extraction efficiency increases exponentially as increasing the extraction time. Fig. 3 shows the effect of extraction time on the extraction efficiency with  $Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr$  as the ion-pairing reagent under following conditions: a 0.5 g of sample with initial As content  $C_0^s$ :  $8.8 \times 10^{-6}$  mol/g treated by using the ion-paring reagent solution (pH 7) with the dosage (*X*) of 140 mol/mol for ion-paring, and extracted using ethanol modified  $\text{sc-CO}_2$  $(Y=0.04)$  under 20 MPa and 40 °C with 0.332 mol/(min g) of CO2. As shown in Fig. 3, the extraction efficiency was close to the theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max with enough long extraction time.



Fig. 3. Extraction efficiency vs. extraction time using  $Myr(Me)_{3}NBr$  as the ion-pairing reagent. *X*: 140 mol/mol; pH 7;  $C_0^s$ : 8.8 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mol/g; *T*: 40 °C; *P*: 20 MPa; *f*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *Y*: 0.04.



Fig. 4. Pressure effect on extraction efficiency. *X*: 140 mol/mol; pH 9;  $C_0^s$ : 9.5 <sup>×</sup> <sup>10</sup>−<sup>6</sup> mol/g; *<sup>T</sup>*: 40 ◦C; *<sup>f</sup>*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *<sup>Y</sup>*: 0.04; *<sup>t</sup>*: 120 min.

## *4.2.2. Pressure*

Pressure is an important factor for the sc- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction of the arsenic-containing-anion which was ion-paired with the tetra-alkylammonium cation. The density and polarity of sc-CO2 increase with pressure increase. The dissolution power of sc-CO2 for the ion-pair was enhanced as elevating the extraction pressure. The equilibrium constant of the ion-pair between the solid sample and  $\text{sc-CO}_2$ ,  $K_e$ , could be increased using higher pressure. As disclosed by Eq.[\(16\), t](#page-3-0)he extraction rate constant *k* should increase as pressure increased, and then the extraction efficiency increases. The experimental extraction efficiencies at different pressures were plotted in Fig. 4 for the extractions of the samples, which were treated by the ethanol solutions (pH 9) of Bu<sub>4</sub>NBr or Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr with the dosage of 140 mol/mol, by using 0.332 mol/(min g) of ethanol modified sc-CO<sub>2</sub> (*Y* = 0.04) at 40 °C for 120 min. As shown in Fig. 4, the extraction efficiency was enlarged as the pressure elevated. Compared with the value at 10 MPa, the extraction efficiency was significantly enhanced at 15 MPa. At the pressures above 15 MPa, the extraction efficiency was increased slowly as pressure increased. The experimental results were qualitatively consistent with the argument using the model proposed.

#### *4.2.3. Temperature*

Another factor, which significantly affects the extraction efficiency, is the extraction temperature. The dependence of the extraction efficiency on the temperature was experimentally determined, and shown in Fig. 5. The extraction efficiency could be enhanced by increasing the extraction temperature both for the cases using Bu4NBr and  $Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr$  as the ion-pairing reagents. The temperature dependence of the extraction efficiency could be reasonably explained by consideration of two aspects. One reason is that elevating temperature can facilitate the mass transfer from the solid sample to the supercritical fluid, that is,  $k_f$ is enlarged at higher temperature. As verified by many experimental and theoretical works, the solubility of solid



Fig. 5. Temperature effect on extraction efficiency. *X*: 140 mol/mol; pH 9; Cs <sup>0</sup>: 9.5 <sup>×</sup> <sup>10</sup>−<sup>6</sup> mol/g; *<sup>P</sup>*: 20 MPa; *<sup>f</sup>*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *<sup>Y</sup>*: 0.04; *<sup>t</sup>*: 120 min.

solutes in supercritical fluids will be enhanced by elevating temperature when the pressure is above a specific value [\[18\].](#page-8-0) So, another possible reason is that the dissolution power of sc-CO<sub>2</sub> for the ion-pair, and then  $K_e$  will be enhanced by raising temperature at the experimental pressure (20 MPa). As indicated by Eq.  $(16)$  and  $(21)$ , the increments of both  $k_f$ and  $K_e$  could make the extraction rate constant  $k$  be enlarged, and then the extraction efficiency be enhanced.

#### *4.2.4. Specific flow rate of CO2*

In the dynamic extraction mode, the specific flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is also an important factor, which affects considerably the extraction efficiency. As described by Eq. [\(16\), t](#page-3-0)he extraction rate constant  $k$  would be enhanced by increasing the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ specific flow rate *f*. On the other hand, the mass transfer constant  $k_f$  depends positively on the specific flow rate of  $CO_2$ . As a result, increasing the specific flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  could enhance the extraction efficiency. However, the influence of the specific flow rate of  $CO_2$  on the mass transfer  $k_f$  becomes very slight when the value of *f* is above a specific value. In such case,  $f \gg ak_f$  and  $k \cong K_{\rm e} a k_f$  from Eq. [\(16\),](#page-3-0) that is, *k* is independent on *f*, and the extraction efficiency *E* becomes also in dependent on the specific flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The experimental results thus confirm the model proposed. [Fig. 6](#page-6-0) show the extraction efficiencies with different specific flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , which were experimentally determined by using the ethanol solutions (pH 9) of Bu<sub>4</sub>NBr or Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr with a dosage of 140 mol/mol for the ion-pairing, and using ethanol modified sc-CO<sub>2</sub> ( $Y = 0.04$ ) for the extraction at 20 MPa and 40 °C for 120 min.

# *4.2.5. The mole ratio of ethanol to CO2*

The modifier, ethanol, affects the extraction in two aspects. Firstly, ethanol is a solvating agent for the ion-pair. In our case, the ion-pair was formed with the small arseniccontaining anion and the tetra-alkylammonium cation that is large and lipophilic. According to the solvation theory proposed by Higuchi [\[19\],](#page-8-0) the external surface of the ion-pair

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 6. Dependence of extraction efficiency on specific CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate. *X*: 140 mol/mol; pH 9;  $C_0^s$ : 9.5 × 10<sup>−6</sup> mol/g; *T*: 40 °C; *P*: 20 MPa; *Y*: 0.04; *t*: 120 min.

would be expected to carry a relatively negative charge per unit area. Such ion-pairs may be effectively solvated by the molecules with acidic protons such as alcohols. Since the bonded solvating molecules of ethanol would have their polar end buried adjacent to the anion, the appearance presented to the surrounding solvent by the solvated ion-pair would be that of a relatively non-polar aggregate, and favorable to be dissolved in  $\text{sc-CO}_2$ . On the other hand, the modification of sc-CO2 with ethanol would improve its solvent power for dissolving the ion-pair. As to results of these two effects, the equilibrium constant of the ion-pair between the supercritical fluid and the solid sample  $K_{\rm e}$ , and then the extraction rate constant *k*, would be enhanced in the presence of ethanol in the system. According to the above argument, increasing the mole ratio of ethanol to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  would increase the extraction efficiency. This was validated by the experiments using the ethanol solutions ( $pH$  9) of Bu<sub>4</sub>NBr with the dosage of 140 mol/mol for the ion-pairing, and 0.332 mol/(min g) of sc- $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for the extraction at 20 MPa and 40 °C for 120 min (as shown in Fig. 7).

# *4.2.6. The dosage of the ion-pairing reagent*

According to [Scheme 1,](#page-2-0) the content of the ion-pairing reagent in the sample before extraction,  $C_{\text{A},0}^{\text{s}}$  would increase with the increased dosage of the ion-paring reagent. As Eq. [\(22\)](#page-3-0) indicated, increasing  $C_{A,0}^s$  would enhance  $E_{\text{max}}$  and then increase the extraction efficiency *E* when the pH of the solution of the ion-pairing reagent is fixed. However, with excess dosage of the ion-pairing reagent, the adsorption of tetraalkylammonium cation on the solid sample would be saturated although the concentration of the tetra-alkylammonium cation in the solution of the ion-paring reagent increased. In such a case,  $C_{A,0}^s$  would approach a certain value and lead to a constant  $E_{\text{max}}$ . It could be predicted that  $E$  would be a constant when the dosage of the ion-pairing reagent was beyond a specific value. The experimental results shown



Fig. 7. Dependence of extraction efficiency on mole ratio of ethanol to CO<sub>2</sub>. *X*: 140 mol/mol; pH 9;  $C_0^s$ : 9.5 × 10<sup>−6</sup> mol/g; *T*: 40 °C; *P*: 20 MPa; *f*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *t*: 120 min.



Fig. 8. The dependence of extraction efficiency on dosage of the ionpairing reagent.  $C_0^s$ :  $9.5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol/g; pH 9; *T*: 40 °C; *P*: 20 MPa; *f*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *Y*: 0.02: *t*: 120 min.

in Fig. 8 bears above argument. Using Bu4NBr as the ionpairing reagent, the extraction efficiency was enhanced by increasing the dosage of Bu4NBr when *X* was less than 141 mol/mol, and almost not changed with the dosage of Bu<sub>4</sub>NB above 141 mol/mol. With  $Myr$ (Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr, the extraction efficiency approached the constant value when *X* was larger than 138 mol/mol.

## *4.2.7. pH of the solution of the ion-pairing reagent*

During the ion-pairing process, pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution influences the speciation of arseniccontaining ions in the solid sample. The values of *x* and *y* in [Scheme 2](#page-2-0) and the stability constant *K* of the ion-pair would be different with different pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution, that would lead to different *E*max as Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-0) indicated. On the other hand, the equilibrium constant  $K_e$  of the ionpair consisting of the arsenic-containing anion in the different chemical form and tetra-alkyammonium cation would be different, that would make the extraction rate constant *k* be

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 9. The dependence of extraction efficiency on pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution. *X*: 140 mol/mol;  $C_0^s$ :  $9.5 \times 10^{-6}$  mol/g; *T*: 40 °C; *P*: 20 MPa; *f*: 0.332 mol/(mol g); *Y*: 0.02: *t*: 120 min.

different. Due to these two reasons, changing pH of the solution of the ion-pairing reagent would change the extraction efficiency.

Fig. 9 shows the dependence of the extraction efficiency on pH of the ion-pairing reagent Bu<sub>4</sub>NBr or Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr solution, which was experimentally determined under the conditions with the dosage of 140 mol/mol for the ion-pairing and the extraction using  $0.332 \text{ mol/(min g)}$  of sc-CO<sub>2</sub> modified with ethanol at  $40^{\circ}$ C and  $20$  MPa for 120 min. Using Bu4NBr as the ion-pairing reagent, the extraction efficiency was quite low when the pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution was 2. As shown in Fig. 9, the extraction efficiency was obviously enlarged as the pH increasing, and approached the maximal value at 9 of pH. The extraction efficiency decreased tardily as the pH increasing when the pH was larger than 9. The same dependence of extraction efficiency on pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution was observed for the case using  $Myr(Me)<sub>3</sub>NBr$  as the ion-pairing reagent.

## **5. Conclusion**

Supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  extraction of arsenic-containing anions ion-paired with tetra-alkylammonium cations can be described by the model proposed in this paper. As the equation  $E = E_{\text{max}}(1 - e^{-kt})$  indicated, the extraction efficiency *E* depends positively on the theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max, the extraction rate constant *k* and the extraction time *t*. The theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max is the function of the stability constant of the ion-pair *K*, and dependent on the chemical structures of the ion-pairing reagent and the condition for ion-pairing. The extraction rate constant *k* is the function of the phase equilibrium constant  $K_{e}$ , the specific flow rate of  $CO_2 f$  and the mass transfer coefficient  $k_f$ , and dependent on the extraction temperature and pressure,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ flow rate, ethanol content in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and also the chemical structures of the ion-pairing reagent.

The theoretical extraction efficiency *E*max and the extraction rate constant *k* are strongly dependent on the chemical structure and molecular size of the ion-pairing reagent. Using the tetra-alkylammoniums containing three methyl and a long *n*-alkyl, *E*max and *k* are larger than the values in the case of the tetra-alkylammonium containing four short *n*alkyl groups. For both two kinds of tetra-alkylammonium cation, increasing the length of the *n*-alkyl group leads to *E*max decreased and *k* increased. The tetra-alkylammoniums containing three methyl groups and a long *n*-alkyl are more effective than the tetra-alkylammonium containing four same short *n*-alkyl groups.

The process parameters, extraction time, pressure, temperature, the specific mole flow rate of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , the mole ratio of ethanol to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , the dosage of the ion-pairing reagent and the pH of the ion-pairing reagent solution affect the extraction efficiency. The process parameter effects on the extraction efficiency experimentally determined coincide with the analysis using the model proposed.

## **Acknowledgment**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China for financial support of this research (No. 20076022).

#### **References**

- [1] M. Ashraf-Khorassani, M.T. Combs, L.T. Talyor, Supercritical fluid extraction of metal ions and metal chelates from different environments, J. Chromatogr. A 774 (1997) 37–49.
- [2] C.M. Wai, S.F. Wang, Supercritical fluid extraction: metal as complexes, J. Chromatogr. A 785 (1997) 369.
- [3] C. Erkey, Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of metals from aqueous solutions: a review, J. Supercrit. Fluids 17 (2000) 259.
- [4] S.F. Wang, C.M. Wai, Supercritical fluid extraction of bioaccumulated mercury from aquatic plants, Environ. Sci. Technol. 10 (1996) 3111.
- [5] Y.H. Lin, N.G. Smart, C.M. Wai, Supercritical fluid extraction of uranium and thorium from nitirc acid solution with organophosphorus reagents, Environ. Sci. Technol. 29 (1995) 2706.
- [6] N.G. Smart, T.E. Carleson, S. Elshani, S.F. Wang, C.M. Wai, Extraction of toxic heavy metals using supercritical fluid carbon dioxide containing organophosphorus reagents, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 36 (1997) 1819.
- [7] C. Hongyou, W. Tao, S. Zhongyao, Removal of trace heavy metals from a natural medicine material by supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  chelating extraction, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 40 (2001) 3659.
- [8] K. Sato, S. Kakinuma, Y. Ito, et al., Use of dioctylsulphosuccinate sodium salt in supercritical fluid chromatography, Chem. Pharm. Bull 45 (1997) 1708.
- [9] O. Gyllenhaal, A. Karlsson, Packed-column supercritical fluid chromatography for the analysis of isosorbide-5-mononitrate and related compounds in bulk substance and tablets, J. Biochem. Methods 43 (2000) 135.
- [10] W. Steuer, M. Schindler, Supercritical fluid chromatography with ion-pairing modifiers. Separation of enantiomeric 1,2-aminoalcohols as diastereomeric ion-pairs, J. Chromatogr. A 447 (1988) 287.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[11] K. Sato, S. Kakinuma, Y. Ito, K. Sagara, H. Iwasaki, H. Itokawa, Determination of berberine and palmatine in Phellodendri Cortex using ion-pair supercritical fluid chromatography on-line coupled with ion-pair supercritical fluid extraction by on-column trapping, J. Chromatogr. A 786 (1997) 371.
- [12] T. Wang, X. Wang, Solubilization of an indicator dye for optical fiber chemical sensors in supercritical CO2 by ion paring, Sens. Actuators B 89 (2003) 144.
- [13] M.M. Jimenez-Carmona, M.T. Tena, M.D. Luque de Castro, Ionpair-supercritical fluid extraction of clenbuterol from food samples, J. Chromatogr. A 711 (1995) 269.
- [14] J.A. Field, D.J. Mille, T.M. Field, S.B. Hawthorne, W. Giger, Quantitative determination of sulfonated aliphatic and aromatic surfactants in sewage sludge by ion-pair/supercritical fluid extraction and derivatization gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem. 64 (1992) 3161.
- [15] A.C. Alder, M.J.F. Suter, W. Giger, P. Fernandez, Determination of the quaternary ammonium surfactant ditallowdimethylammonium in digested sludges and marine sediments by supercritical fluid extraction and liquid chromatography with postcolumn ion-pair formation, Anal. Chem. 68 (1996) 921.
- [16] J. Wang, W.D. Marshall, Anion mobilization from aqueous media by ion associate extraction into supercritical carbon dioxide with on-line detection by flame atomic absorption spectrometry, Analyst 121 (1996) 817.
- [17] T. Wang, Y. Guan, Removal of arsenic in the form of anions from solid matrices by supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction with ion-pairing, Sep. Purif. Technol. 31 (2003) 225.
- [18] M.E. Paulaitis, V.J. Krukonis, R.T. Kurnik, R.C. Reid, Rev. Chem. Eng. 1 (1983) 179.
- [19] T. Higuchi, A. Michaelis, A. Hurwitz, Ion-pair extraction of pharmaceutical amines, Anal. Chem. 39 (1967) 974.